

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,573	07/11/2003	Babu J. Mavunkel	219002030100	3779
	7590 07/18/2007 FOERSTER LLP		EXAM	INER
12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE			DESAI, RITA J	
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92130-2040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,,,			1625	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,573	MAVUNKEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rita J. Desai	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	·				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·				
Application Papers		· ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	- <del>-</del>	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 5-10 are pending.

The rejection of claims 8-10 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by DN 107:197769 Ishida Tatsukazu et al still stands. The reference clearly teaches the compounds and its activity which is "as aminating agents for the synthesis of drugs and agrochemicals.". It is silent as to which atom is getting aminated.

Applicants own specification teaches the Boyles reference teaches the N amination by a similar compound. Thus with this teaching in the art, it is inherent that the DN 107:197769 compound also follows the same process for amination.

Thus the 102 rejection still stands.

The rejection of the claims 1, 5-7 under 35 USC 103 still stands.

The applicants are arguing

1) that the motivation is coming from the specifications. This is not correct.

The motivation is coming from the prior art. The prior art has the same use. Amination.

- 2) The reference does provide a small genus. The genus is really the same the halo, alkyl or haloalkyl.
- 3) The compounds of Ishida are the same as applicants compounds, see RN 42865-91-8. These do not have multiple nitro groups.
- 4) That the applicants compounds have identified a specific problem and are trying to solve it, is not convincing because the prior art has already shown that the same compounds are used for

Art Unit: 1625

amination. So just stating that it has properties which are inherent as these properties would inherently have been in the prior art too, does not make it unobvious.

5) Finally applicants argue they described a clear preference. The lack of a specific example of that aspect of the invention should not be determinative of obviousness, since no examples are required.

This is also not found to be convincing because the compounds are disclosed in the prior art.

Hence to show the unobviousness applicants need to show that the examples have been made and they have been reduced to practice. In absence to examples and a clear and convincing comparison the obviousness rejection still stands.

## Conclusion

Claims 1, 5-10 are still rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, flex time..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625 Mesar 7/9/07

R.D. July 9, 2007